UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/888,679	06/25/2001	Jeffrey R. Shutic	11694/04112 9972	
	7590 05/03/200 TER & GRISWOLD,	EXAMINER		
800 SUPERIOR AVENUE			NGUYEN, DINH Q	
SUITE 1400 CLEVELAND	OH 44114		ART UNIT .	PAPER NUMBER
,	,	·	3752	
	,			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/03/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

. 6	
100	
w	
n.	

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/888,679	SHUTIC ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Dinh Q. Nguyen	3752			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim  rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from  cause the application to become ABANDONEI	. the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09 Ag</u> This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This     Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final: nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,5-14,28,30-36,38-43,48-51 and 65</u> 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,5,7-12,14,28,30-36,38,39,42,43,46</u> 7) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>6,13,40 and 41</u> is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration. 8-51 and 65 is/are rejected.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the Eddrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). lected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate			

Application/Control Number: 09/888,679

Art Unit: 3752

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States
- 2. Claims 48-50 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Drummond.

Drummond discloses a method for quick color change in a powder spraying system comprising steps of: continuously drawing powder overspray 124 from a spray booth 108 by a cyclone 170, conveying powder from the cyclone 170 by applying a vacuum by motor 244 to a vacuum line 256/194/202 by connecting at a first end (adjacent to end 178) to a powder outlet 198 of cyclone 170 to a second end (adjacent 212) of a vacuum receiver 202 that houses a filter 210 (see figure 1).

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1, 2, 5, 7, 8, 10-12, 14, 28, 30, 31, 38, 39, 42, 43, 51 and 65 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Trevisan in view of Drummond.

Application/Control Number: 09/888,679

Art Unit: 3752

Trevisan teaches all the limitations of the claims except for a vacuum receiver that houses a filter. However, Drummond discloses a powder spraying system with a vacuum receiver 202 that houses a filter 210 (see figure 1). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to have provided the device of Trevisan with a vacuum receiver that houses a filter as suggested by Drummond. Doing so would provide a way to reclaim overpaying particles (see column 2, lines 20+).

With respect to claim 51, at the time the invention was made, it would have been an obvious matter of design choice to a person of ordinary skill in the art to provide the method of Drummond with the steps of periodically discharging powder from the vacuum receiver.

5. Claims 9, 32-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Trevisan in view of Drummond as applied to claims 1, 2, 5, 7, 8, 10-12, 14, 28, 30, 31, 38, 39, 42, 43, 51 and 65 above, and further in view of Shaneyfelt.

Trevisan in view of Drummond teach all the limitations of the claims except for a spray booth with a rotatable floor. However, Shaneyfelt discloses a spray booth 20 with a rotatable floor. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to have provided the spray booth of Trevisan and Drummond with a rotatable floor as suggested by Shaneyfelt. Doing so would provide a versatile powder coating device (see column 1, lines 63+).

# Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 6, 13, 40, and 41 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

# Response to Arguments

- 7. Applicant's arguments filed 3/12/07 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 8. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 2, 5, 7-12, 14, 28, 29-36, 38, 39, 42, 73, 48-51, and 65 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
  - 9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dinh Q. Nguyen whose telephone number is 571-272-4907. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 6:00-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kevin Shaver can be reached on 571-272-4720. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Dinh Q Nguyen Primary Examiner Art Unit 3752

dqn